Information sheet:
Mental Impairment and Fitness to Stand Trial

Australian States and Territories define mental impairment in their criminal legislation as being a “mental illness”, an “intellectual disability”, or a “specific neurological condition” (e.g., a disability or impairment of the mind resulting from senility). Psychologists, with the aid of specific psychometric tools, can provide opinions to the court about a person’s mental impairment and the impact of that impairment on the fitness criteria defined in the relevant Act. It is the province of the court to accept or not accept these opinions.

In the Australian legal system, a person should be mentally fit in order to be tried in a court of law. A person is deemed to be fit to stand trial if he or she has the ability to achieve a lay person’s understanding of court process, the charges that have been made, and how s/he will instruct legal advisors to proceed in relation to the charges. A person is considered to be mentally unfit to stand trial if he or she is significantly compromised by a mental impairment.

How is fitness to stand trial assessed?

Expert witnesses should evaluate a clients’ fitness to stand trial using empirical tools, behavioural indicators, and legal standards. In making this determination, the complexity of the evidence and the likely nature of proceedings will be taken into account. Specialised fitness measures are frequently used by psychologists (see further reading).

Fitness assessment instruments are often deemed to be more reliable than professional judgment when assessing baseline competencies. However, fitness criteria are usually defined in terms of abilities and therefore the psychologist must evaluate the person’s capacity to acquire the relevant competencies using behavioural observations and measures (e.g., ratings based on the person’s behaviour during police interviews and other analogous settings to what the person will be required to do in court). It is important for the assessor to demonstrate how the specifics of the defendant’s mental impairment result in specific deficits defined in the relevant legislation.

Further reading